# The Law of Letters of Credit in China

Commentary and Materials on the Chinese Supreme People's Court's Judicial Interpretations of Letters of Credit



## THE LAW OF LETTERS OF CREDIT IN CHINA

COMMENTARY AND MATERIALS

ON THE CHINESE SUPREME PEOPLE'S COURT'S

JUDICIAL INTERPRETATIONS OF LETTERS OF CREDIT

By Jin Saibo



Copyright © 2013 International Chamber of Commerce (ICC)

All rights reserved. ICC holds all copyright and other intellectual property rights in this work. No part of this work may be reproduced, distributed, transmitted, translated or adapted in any form or by any means except to the extent this is necessary for purchasers of the publication containing the work to prepare, negotiate or record an agreement based on the work. This exception does not include the right to post the work on internal networks for access by multiple users without permission, or to make it available on the Internet for public access, which is strictly prohibited.

Permission can be requested from ICC through publications@iccwbo.org.

ICC Services
Publications Department
33-43 Avenue du Président Wilson
75116 Paris,
France

ICC PUBLICATION NO. 736E ISBN: 978-92-842-0231-7

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

FORI	EWORD15
AUTI	HOR'S PREFACE17
FORI	EWORD by James G. Barnes & Professor James E. Byrne21
FORI	EWORD by Boris Kozolchyk25
AUTI	HOR'S BIOGRAPHY29
	PART ONE
	MENTS ON THE PROVISIONS ON SEVERAL ISSUES CONCERNING THE L OF DISPUTES OVER LETTERS OF CREDIT
СНАР	TER ONE: SIGNIFICANCE OF THE LC JUDICIAL INTERPRETATIONS35
1.1	China's First Statute on Letters of Credit35
1.2	Conformity of China's LC System to International Practices, such as International Standard Banking Practice
1.3	Standardization of Chinese Court Adjudication of LC Disputes36
1.4	Standardization of Procedural and Substantial Issues related to LC Fraud and Payment Suspensions
	TER TWO:CAUSES FOR THE DRAFTING OF THE LC JUDICIAL INTERPRETATIONS AND SIONS OF THE DRAFTS39
2.1	The Chaos of Ungrounded LC Suspension Orders Issued by Chinese Courts during 1994 to 199739
2.2	The Report Submitted by the People's Bank of China to the Political and Legislative Affairs Central Committee of the Communist Party of China (CPC) in 1998

2.3		The 1999 Seminar Held by the Supreme People's Court in Fuzhou City Fujian Province			
2.4		The Supreme People's Courts First Draft of the Judicial Interpretations Specifically on LC Fraud and Suspension of Payment			
2.5	The Sec	cond Draft by Judge Gao Xiang of the Supreme People's Court42			
2.6		g of the Third Draft Presided over by Judge Wang Yun of the Supreme s Court43			
2.7	Exposu	re Draft Published by the Supreme People's Court44			
2.8		nal Official Version Passed by the Judicial Committee of the Supreme s Court44			
		HREE: ARTICLE-BY-ARTICLE COMMENTS ON THE LC JUDICIAL DNS45			
3.1	Comme	nts on Article 145			
	3.1.1	The Provision45			
	3.1.2	Comments: The Sources of the LC Judicial Interpretations and the			
		Scope of LC Cases45			
	3.1.2.1	Sources of Judicial Interpretation and Relevant Statutes45			
	3.1.2.2	Sources Derived from International Practices45			
	3.1.2.3	The Roles Played by Precedents or Previous Cases Decided by			
		Chinese Courts Especially the Supreme People's Court46			
	3.1.2.4	Definition of LC46			
	3.1.2.5	Scope of LC Cases			
3.2	Comme	nts on Article 2 47			
	3.2.1	The Provision47			
	3.2.2	Comments: Application of International Practices47			
	3.2.2.1	Application of International Practices: Party Autonomy Doctrine.47			
	3.2.2.2	Shall Provisions of the LC Judicial Interpretations Be Excluded or			
		Should Varied by Agreements of Parties in LC Terms48			
	3.2.2.3	Application of International Practices: the Implied Choice of			
		International Practices by the Parties48			
	3.2.2.4	Application of International Practice: Application without Expressed			
		or Implied Choice of International Practices 49			

3.2.2.5	Application of International Practices Concerning Standby Letters of
	Credit (Standby LCs)49
3.2.2.6	Whether the LC Judicial Interpretations Apply to Domestic Letters
	of Credit50
3.3 Comme	ents on Article 350
3.3.1	The Provision50
3.3.2	Comments: Applicable Law to LC Transactions and Relevant Legal
	Relations51
3.3.2.1	Independence of LC Transactions from Other Related
	Transactions51
3.3.2.2	Scope of Application of the LC Judicial Interpretations51
3.4 Comme	ents on Article 452
3.4.1	The Provision52
3.4.2	Comments: Applicable Law of LC Cases52
3.4.3	When Parties Agree on the Applicable Law in an LC, Chinese Courts
	Will Honour Such Agreement52
3.4.4	Absent an Agreement on Applicable Law, the Principle of Proximate
	Connection Should be Applied53
3.4.5	Application of International Customs by Chinese Courts53
3.4.6	The Applicability of Foreign Law and Chinese Law in Fraud and
	Payment Suspension Cases54
3.4.7	Apply the Laws to the Underlying Contract and the LC
	Separately55
3.4.8	The Independent Consideration on Applicable Law in the Contracts
	Relating to LCs and Demand Guarantee Such As the Guarantee
	Contract55
3.5 Comme	ents on Article 556
3.5.1	The Provision56
3.5.2	Comments: Payment Obligations and Defenses of the Issuing
	Bank
3.5.2.1	LC is a Conditional Payment Undertaking: the Prerequisite for
	Payment by the Issuing Bank56

3.5.2.2	The Independence Principle of LC: the Independence of Payment
	Obligations of the Issuing Bank and the Defense of Dishonour57
3.5.2.3	Fraud Exception of the Independence of the Payment Obligation
	Under LCs58
3.6 Commer	nts on Article 658
3.6.1	The Provision58
3.6.2	Comments: Standards for Document Examination59
3.6.2.1	Compliance on their face, Strict Compliance, Substantial Compliance
	and Mirror-Image Rule59
3.6.2.2	UCP or ISBP: Which Standard to Follow?59
3.6.2.3	Standard for Documents Examination as Agreed by the Parties61
3.6.2.4.	Inconsistencies between Documents61
3.6.2.5	Should All Documents Be Treated as a Whole? Remedies involving
	Other Documents
3.6.2.6	Standard of Reasonable Document Examiner63
3.6.2.7	Inconsistency between Documents That Does Not Lead to Different
	Meaning65
3.6.2.8	The Interpretation of Different Meaning by the Supreme People's
	Court in a Recent Retrial Case66
3.7 Commen	nts on Article 7 67
3.7.1	The Provision67
3.7.2	Comments: Determination, Acceptance and Waiver of Discrepancies
	by the Issuing Bank and the LC Applicant68
3.7.2.1	The Legal Relationship between Issuing Banks and LC Applicants . 68
3.7.2.2	Issuing Banks' Right to Determine Discrepancies70
3.7.2.3	Should the Issuing Bank be Bound by the Instruction for a Waiver of
	Discrepancy from the LC Applicant?71
3.7.2.4	Prior Agreement between the Issuing Bank and the LC Applicant
	upon Acceptance/Refusal of Discrepancies73
3.7.2.5	The Beneficiary Cannot Claim against the Issuing Bank on ground
	of the LC Applicants Waiver74
3.7.2.6	The Latest Position Held by the Supreme People's Court in a Recent
	Leading Case75

3.8 Comn	nents on Article 877
3.8.1	The Provision77
3.8.2	Comments: Fraud Exception to the Independence of LCs78
3.8.2.1	Balance among the Independence of LC, Fraud Exception, and the
	Immunity of Fraud Exception78
3.8.2.2	Determination of LC Fraud79
3.8.2.3	The Beneficiary Forges Documents or Provides Documents with
	False Information80
3.8.2.4	Ante-dated Bill of Lading80
3.8.2.5	6. Clause 2: The Beneficiary Fails to Deliver Goods or Delivers Goods
	of No Value81
3.8.2.6	Clause 3: Forged Documents Presented in Conspiracy82
3.8.2.7	Other Circumstances Involving LC Fraud83
3.8.2.8	
3.9 Comn	nents on Article 986
3.9.1	The Provision86
3.9.2	Comments: Rights and Procedures as to Applications for Suspension
	Orders on the Ground of LC Fraud86
3.9.2.1	Who Has the Cause of Action to Apply for the Payment Suspension
	Order under the LC?86
3.9.2.2	2 Forms of Judicial Remedies for LC Fraud: Injunction, Property
	Preservation, and Payment Suspension87
3.9.2.3	B Preliminary and Permanent Suspension Orders88
3.9.2.4	What is Irreparable Damage89
3.9.2.5	Determination of Jurisdiction over Disputes Arising from LC Fraud 89
3.9.2.6	6. Mutual Independence of Jurisdiction over Underlying Contract,
	Letter of Credit/Guarantee89
3.9.2.7	7. Jurisdiction over Cases Involving Foreign Interests90
3.9.2.8	Long-arm Jurisdiction of People's Courts of China91
3.9.2.9	Long-arm Jurisdiction of Hong Kong Courts and Inconvenient
	Forum Jurisdiction of Mainland Courts92
3.10 Com	ments on Article 1094
3.10.1	The Provision94
3.10.2	Comments: Immunity of LC Fraud Exception94

3.10.2.1	Clause 1: A Party Nominated or Authorized by the Issuing Bank Has
	Made the Payment in Good Faith Pursuant to the Issuing Banks
	Nomination94
3.10.2.2	8
	Person Has Accepted the Draft under the LC in Good Faith95
3.10.2.3	Clause 3: Confirming Bank Has Performed its Payment Obligation
	in Good Faith101
3.10.2.4	
3.10.2.5	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	Issue
3.11 Comme	ents on Article 11 105
3.11.1	The Provision
3.11.2	Comments: Conditions of Suspension of LC Payment105
3.11.2.1	Distinction Made by the Supreme People's Court between
	Conditions of Application for Suspension of LC Payment before and
	during a Lawsuit105
3.11.2.2	Clause 1: The Court which the Application for Suspension Order is
	Filed with Has the Jurisdiction over LC Cases106
3.11.2.3	Clause 2: The Evidence Submitted by the Applicant Proves the
	Existence of the Situations Provided in Article 8
3.11.2.4	Clause 3: The Applicant's Lawful Rights and Interests will Suffer
	Irreparable Damage if Payment under the LC were not Suspended 109
3.11.2.5	Clause 4: The Applicant Provides Reliable and Adequate Security
	Clause 5: Except for the Circumstances Provided in Article 10, the
	Exemption to the Fraud Exception does not Apply110
3.11.2.7	Negative and Positive Effects of Article 11111
3.12 Comme	ents on Article 12 112
3.12.1	The Provision112
3.12.2	Comments: The Enforcement of Court Order Suspending LC
	Payment and the Parties
3.12.2.1	Procedures Subsequent to the Court Accepting an Application for
	Suspension of Payment under the LC112
3.12.2.2	Plaintiff and Defendant in the LC Litigation and the Role of the
	Issuing Bank or the Intermediary Bank112

3.12	2.3 Order to Suspend Payment under the LC Rendered by Ch	inese
	Courts	114
3.12	.4 Time Limit of the Payment Suspension Order Rendered by Ch	inese
	Courts	115
3.12	.5 Consequences of Disobeying the Order of the Court by the Parties	116
3.12	.6 Revocation and Expiration of Court Ruling to Suspend Pay	ment
	under the LC	117
3.13 Co	ments on Article 13	. 118
3.13	The Provision	118
3.13	Comments: Objection, Review Procedures of the Suspension C	Order
	and Time Limit	119
3.13	.1 Reviewing the Payment Suspension Order by Courts and the	Time
	Limit	119
3.13	.2 Time Limit of the Review by the Court at a Higher Level	120
3.13	.3 Enforcement of the Order during Review	120
3.13	.4 Question: Who May Apply for Review?	121
3.13	.5 Historic Judgment of Supreme People's Court on Application	n for
	Reconsideration of LC Payment Suspension Order	122
3.14 Co	ments on Article 14	. 122
3.14.	The Provision	122
3.14.	Comments: Consolidation of Disputes Concerning LC	and
	Underlying Transactions	123
3.14.	1 Consolidation of Disputes Concerning LC and Under	rlying
	Transactions	123
3.14.	2 Who Are the Interested Parties	123
3.14.	3 The Third Party in LC Litigation and the Role of the Interme	ediary
	Bank	124
3.14.	4 Issues of Third Parties with and without an Independent Claim.	124
	ments on Article 15	
3.15	The Provision	
3.15	Comments: Defense of Fraud and Termination of the Pay	
	Undertaking	125

3.15.2.1	Order or Judgment?
3.15.2.2	Definition of Substantive Trial
3.15.2.3	Conditions of Termination of the Payment under the LC126
3.15.2.4	Positive Effects of the LC Judicial Interpretations on LC Payment
	Suspension
3.16 Comme	ents on Article 16126
3.16.1	The Provision
3.16.2	Comments: Defense of the Guarantor of the LC applicant127
3.16.2.1	Conflict with Article 20 of the GuarantyLaw of China127
3.16.2.2	LC as Contingent Liability of the Issuing Bank and the Influences on
	Guarantor's Liability due to Waiver of Discrepancy127
3.17 Comme	ents on Article 17128
3.17.1	The Provision
3.17.2	Comments: Defense of the Guarantor
_	Contradictions between Article 16 and Article 17128
3.17.2.2	
	of China v. Fandong Sub-branch of Agriculture Bank of China 129
3.17.2.3	The Supreme People's Court's Judgment of China Tiancheng Group
	v. Tianjin Branch of Bank of China129
3.17.2.4	The Supreme People's Courts Judgment: Xinjiang Branch of Bank
	of China (appellant) v. Guobiao (Xinjiang) Trade Ltd. (appellee),
	Jinbang (Xinjiang) Steel., Ltd(the plaintiff in the trial)129
3.18 Comme	ents on Article 18130
3.18.1	The Provision
3.18.2	CommentThe Nature of the LC Judicial Interpretations and the
	Retrospective Effect
3.18.2.1	The Nature of the LC Judicial Interpretations of the Supreme
	People's Court
3.18.2.2	Application and Retrospective Effect of the LC Judicial
	Interpretations

CHAPTER FOUF	R: ISSUES LACKING OR UNRESOLVED IN THE LC JUDICIAL INTERPRETATIONS
AND THE OUTL	00K133
4.1 Issues no	t Addressed or Unresolved in the LC Judicial Interpretations133
4.1.1	Trust Receipt133
4.1.2	Banks Security Interests in Documents and Underlying Goods .134
4.1.3	Applicable Law and Jurisdictions over LC Cases135
4.1.4	Applicable Law of Standby LCs and Independent Demand Guarantee 135
4.1.5	Demonstration and Interpretations of Local Banking Practices135
4.1.6	Subrogation and Relevant Issues in Bankruptcy Law under the LC . 136
4.1.7	Beneficiary's Warranty of Authenticity of Documents136
4.1.8	Assignment and Transfer of Rights under the LC136
4.1.9	Statutory Limitations of Action in LC Disputes137
4.1.10	Recovery for Damages and Reasonable Legal Fees in LC Disputes 137
4.1.11	Whether the LC Judicial Interpretations Shall Leave Room for
	Development of Law Regarding Domestic LCs138
4.2	Positive and Negative Impacts of the LC Judicial Interpretations and
	Issues Worth Noting in Training and Application 130

#### **PART TWO**

APPENDICES141
Appendix I: Explanations of the Supreme People's Court on the Provisions on Several Issues Concerning the Trial of Letter of Credit Dispute Cases142
Appendix 2: Provisions of the Supreme People's Court on the Issue of Whether People's Courts may Adopt Measures to Freeze and Retain the Security for the Issue of Letters of Credit
Appendix 3:Trial of Letter of Credit Cases in Response to the International Financial Crisis Study Report on the Problems Encountered by People's Courts in the Trial of Letter of Credit Cases under the Current International Financial Crisis and the Measures in Response Thereto
Appendix 4: Circular of the Supreme People's Court on the Issues to which Attention Shall be Paid Concerning the Trial of Letter of Credit Dispute Cases by People's Courts at Present
Appendix 5: Circular of the Supreme People's Court on the Prohibition of Randomly Suspending Payments under Letters of Credit
Appendix 6: Circular of the Supreme People's Court on Conscientious Study and Thorough Implementation of the Provisions on Several Issues Concerning the Litigation and Jurisdiction of Foreign-related Civil and Commercial Cases160
Appendix 7: Provisions of the Supreme People's Court on Certain Issues Concerning Jurisdiction over Civil and Commercial Cases Involving Foreign Elements
Appendix 8: Provisions of Various High People's Courts on the Jurisdiction over Letter of Credit Cases
Appendix 8.1: The Provision on Jurisdiction of Foreign-related Cases of Beijing High Court
Appendix 8.2: Circular on Adjusting the Standards of Jurisdiction over First Instance Civil Cases by Courts of Beijing Municipality of Beijing High People's Court (No. Jing Gao Fa Fa [2008] 64 dated April 1, 2008)
Appendix 8.3: The Provision on Jurisdiction of Foreign-related Cases of Shanghai High Court
Appendix 8.4: The Provision on Jurisdiction of Foreign-related Cases of Liaoning High Court

Appendix 8.5: The Provision on Jurisdiction of Foreign-related Cases of Guizhou High Court170
Appendix 8.6: The Provision on Jurisdiction of Foreign-related Cases of High Court of Guangxi Autonomous Region172
Appendix 8.7: The Provision on Jurisdiction of Foreign-related Cases of Zhejiang High Court174
Appendix 8.8: The Provision on Jurisdiction of Foreign-related Cases of Anhu High Court176
Appendix 8.9: The Provision on Jurisdiction of Foreign-related Cases of Guangdong High Court
Appendix 8.10: The Provision on Jurisdiction of Foreign-related Cases of Shandong High Court182
Appendix 8.11: The Provision on Jurisdiction of Foreign-related Cases of Shanxi High People's Court186
The International Chamber of Commerce190
Some ICC Specialized Divisions
ICC Publications for Global Business192

#### **FOREWORD**

Letters of Credit (LCs) are an important means of financing in international trade, and the international customs, practices and relevant laws governing them hold an important position in modern commercial law. Over the past 100 years, case law and statutes on LCs have seen great development through the wisdom and efforts of the legal and banking circles of many countries. The International Chamber of Commerce (ICC) and its national committees, drawing on the latest banking techniques and developments in international trade, work to periodically revise and update the Uniform Customs and Practice for Documentary Credits (UCP), making the UCP a crystallization of the significant efforts of global trade and financial circles. These commendable efforts have played an indispensable role in the flourishing of global trade, especially in the development of international standard banking practices and laws. The achievements of ICC and its national committees in developing and promoting international rules of trade and finance despite the shrinking volume of international trade following the global financial crisis are significant and have attracted the attention of the World Trade Organization (WTO). This underlies the recent cooperation between the WTO and ICC in the development of trade and trade finance. Confronted with the financial crisis, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision has also called for stronger ties with ICC to be better informed in its formulation of relevant rules and to strike a better balance between its missions of improving banking supervision and promoting global trade.

LC business is mainly subject to the UCP published by ICC. Although the *Uniform* Commercial Code (UCC) of the United States has an article on the use of LCs that is very influential, national laws relating to LCs are rarely employed globally. In its efforts to develop international trade and banking cooperation and align itself with international standard banking practices, especially the legalization of LCs, China has followed a specific path of development. Even though China has not yet enacted any LC law by the People's Congress, over the past 20 years, the Supreme People's Court has promulgated a series of judicial interpretations, provisions, and meeting minutes which combine to form the legal authority on LCs in China. In this regard, the judges of the Supreme People's Court, Fourth Civil Division, which hears numerous foreign-related civil and commercial cases, have contributed their wisdom and efforts to the drafting and revision of Chinese LC Rules: The Provisions on Several Issues Concerning the Trial of Disputes over Letters of Credit (the LC Judicial Interpretations). These rules summarize the judges' experience in foreign-related cases involving LC disputes since China's reform and opening-up in 1978 and successfully integrate international customs into China's legal system, providing a legal basis for LC business in China.

Dr Jin Saibo, the author of this book, and I co-authored *The Laws of Letters of Credit* (Law Press, 2004) which, with up to 1,500 pages, took us a total of eight years to finish. The cases and materials that Dr Jin compiled after the publication of the book was also an important source of reference for the *LC Judicial Interpretations* of the Supreme People's Court. The diligence and dedication with which Dr Jin collects materials for academic research and writes papers and books on letters of credit, demand guarantees and financial laws not only deeply impresses me but also wins the admiration and appreciation in the financial and legal circles. For these reasons, he has been invited by the Supreme People's Court on many occasions to provide his professional opinions in the drafting and revision of the *LC Judicial Interpretations*. It is my pleasure and honour to see that *The Laws of Letters of Credit*, as well as other mass materials collected by Dr Jin Saibo have been considered by the Supreme People's Court in the drafting of the *LC Judicial Interpretations*.

The present work, with its detailed comments, is a great help for understanding and applying the *LC Judicial Interpretations*. The in-depth explanations and critical analyses it provides from the unique perspective of a legal practitioner and scholar on the law and practice of LC I think, will not only be of particular value to trade and banking professionals but also provide an excellent reference to legal practitioners on how to understand and apply the *Judicial Interpretations*.

I am pleased to write the foreword for this book.

#### Li Jian\*

General Counsel of the Export-Import Bank of China April 16, 2012

\*Li Jian, 1980-1984 Peking University, Law School (LLB), 1984-2001 The Economic Division (the Second Division) of the Supreme People's Court, 1988-1989 NYU School of Law (the Visiting Scholar), 1995-1998 Peking University, Law School (Master of Economic Law), 2001-2002 the University of Arizona (LLM), 2002-2005 the University of Toronto (SJD Candidate), 2006-2008 Commerce & Finance Law Offices (Partner), 2008 to now, the Export-Import Bank of China (the General Counsel). During his work in the Supreme People's Court, he has ever acted as the Deputy Chief Judge of the Division and presided over the Session of the cases with foreign elements. He was one of the earliest drafters of the LC Judicial Interpretations, and had led the drafting groups to draft several judicial interpretations and formal documents pertaining to Letters of Credit.

#### **AUTHOR'S PREFACE**

On October 24, 2005, The Provisions of the Supreme People's Court on Several Issues Concerning the Trial of Cases of Disputes over Letters of Credit (the LC *Judicial Interpretations*) were finally adopted at the 1368th Meeting of the Adjudicatory Committee of the Supreme People's Court. It represents the eight years of effort by four successive judges since 1997, during which drafts of the LC Judicial Interpretations went through several amendments. The LC Judicial Interpretations were published on December 9, 2005 and became effective on January 1, 2006. Throughout the drafting, I participated in discussions on the modification of several official drafts, and I was officially invited twice as one of the experts by the Supreme People's Court to provide oral and written opinions on certain drafts. I was also officially invited to make a presentation on letters of credit in a training programme organized by the Supreme People's Court and sponsored by the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) for judges from more than one hundred Chinese courts with jurisdiction over foreign-related cases. I have also given lectures on case analysis of LCs at the invitation of the high courts of Beijing, Shanghai, Tianjin, Zhejiang, Fujian, Guangdong and Inner Mongolia respectively. Over the last eight years, in order to better assist the drafting and amending of the LC Judicial Interpretations, I provided all the materials I collected, including case reports of foreign countries and Chinese courts, books, papers and various publications in relation to LCs published by ICC and the IIBLP in English or in Chinese to several judges of the Supreme People's Court in charge of the drafting and amending, and almost all judges (at that time) of the Fourth Civil Division of the Supreme People's Court. All these efforts have been rewarded with heartfelt appreciation from judges of the Fourth Civil Division of the Supreme People's Court. In my professional practice, I found that banks, legal professionals and the judges adjudicating LC cases felt doubtful about the meaning and applicability of the LC Judicial Interpretations. So, I believe it is worthwhile for me to comment on the LC Judicial Interpretations by referring to various drafts as well as arguments and opinions put forward during the drafting. I hope the comments will be of some help for law professionals and bankers in understanding and applying the LC Judicial Interpretations.

I would like to further point out that, based on the judgments and rulings delivered by Chinese courts in recent years, especially during the financial crisis between 2008 and 2010, the *LC Judicial Interpretations* have stood the test and have proved to be sufficient and effective since their implementation. Chinese courts are fully capable of making correct, reasonable, and sound judgments over LC cases on the basis of international practices and the *LC Judicial Interpretations*.

Though I started to work on this book in 2006 when the *LC Judicial Interpretations* first took effect, I continued collecting materials until October 20, 2011, including relevant reports published by the Supreme People's Court and judgments delivered by Chinese courts. The comments in this book are based on the materials

and information available to me, which have not been verified by judges of the Supreme People's Court in charge of drafting of the *LC Judicial Interpretations*, the responsibility for any possible mistakes in this book is therefore mine.

Here I would like to extend my sincere gratitude to the following people who have offered me support in the past years:

Mr. Li Jian, former Deputy Presiding Judge of the Economic Division of the Supreme People's Court and currently the General Counsel of the Export-Import Bank of China; Professor Gao Xiang, former judge of the Fourth Civil Division of the Supreme People's Court and currently a professor and a tutor of PhD. candidates of China University of Political Science and Law; Professor Wang Jun, currently the Dean of the School of Law and tutor of PhD candidates at the University of International Business and Economics (UIBE); Professor Wang Chenguang, former Dean of the School of Law and tutor of PhD candidates at Tsinghua University; and Professor Boris Kozolchyk, the founder and the president of the National Law Centre for Inter-American Free Trade (NLCIFT) and Evo de Concini Professor at the University of Arizona, whom I followed as a visiting scholar at the NLCIFT of the University of Arizona; Professor James Byrne, President of IIBLP; Mr. Soh Chee Seng. Technical Consultant on Trade Finance Issues to the Association of Banks in Singapore (ABS) and a member of the UCP600 Drafting Group. Without the patient guidance and elabourate instruction over the past fifteen years by these excellent teachers and friends, this book would not have been possible.

I am also grateful to my translation team, which consists of Liang Jiang, Zeng Jun, Pan Qi, Xie Jin, Wang Sen, Yin Luyuan, Li Shasha, Song Jingyue, Ma Ju, Pan Fangfang, Li Fengyang and Wei Li, who are graduates of UIBE. The final English version was reviewed by my colleagues, Partner Ma Jie, Partner Feng Jing and Assistant Attorney Li Qishi of Beijing Commerce & Finance Law Offices. The senior partner, Mr. Li Hongji also gave many opinions on the modification of the book. Due to their efforts, the book is ready to be published in both Chinese and English, which makes it possible to share the information regarding the practice and laws of LCs, bank guarantees and trade finance in China with professionals all over the world.

I also want to express my sincere gratitude to Professor Boris Kozolchyk of the University of Arizona, the founder of the National Law Centre for Inter-American Free Trade (NLCIFT), and his assistant Mr. David Ruiz. Mr. Ruiz spent several weeks of labourious work scrutinizing the English translation and proposed several pertinent amendments; Professor Kozolchyk also reviewed the entire book and commented on each of the changes and added a good number of his own. I think Professor Boris Kozolchyk would allow me to quote from his email, which spoke my true intention in translating the book into English:

"I think the book is a very significant contribution to not only Chinese LC law but also its understanding around the world. The reason it took us all this time to finish it is because we and I in particular did not want that the value of the book to be diminished by language that the readers could not understand or thought meant nothing."